

Agenda



- Overview of UNFPA's funding situation
 - ✓ Presentation of the Report on contributions by Member States and others to UNFPA and revenue projections for 2017 and future years
- Towards a new "compact" for sustainable and predictable funding of UNFPA
- Structured Discussion

Introduction



- UNFPA's approach for strengthened structured funding dialogues, based on:
 - QCPR guidance
 - SG Report: Repositioning the UNDS to deliver on the 2030 Agenda
 - Report on Contributions by Member States and others to UNFPA and revenue projections for 2017 and future years
 - Analysis of funding gaps and needs for the new cycle of the Strategic Plan 2018-2021
- Initiate a discussion with members of the ExBo on ways to arrive at a "compact" for predictable and sustainable funding of UNFPA's new Strategic Plan






- The 2017 Report on Contributions (DP/FPA/2017/11) points to a 14% decrease in regular (core) and co-financing (non-core) resources between 2015 and 2016, and currently projects a further 17% decrease for 2017.
- The Report concludes that the funding outlook remains challenging due the continuing negative impact of:
 - exchange rate volatility;
 - a narrowing funding base for core-resources; and
 - unprecedented reductions from some key traditional donors, including the US defunding of UNFPA in 2017
- The Report also shows growing non-core contributions from programme countries in 2017 (\$72.2 million, as of 1 August 2017), compared to 2016 (\$23.0 million).

Countries increasing contribution to their own country programme and co-financing




- Number of Programme Countries contributing to their own country programme
- Co-financing Revenue from Programme Countries



^{*} Figures as of 1 August 2017. Provisional and still subject to final reconciliation.

UNFPA mobilized almost 90% of the SP targets between 2014-16











Based on the evolving development financing landscape and information from donors, **the funding outlook remains challenging** in 2017 and future years, a case that has affected UNFPA resources, particularly core resources



A narrow funding base for core-resources



Compared with 150 donors in 2010, UNFPA experiences a narrowing funding base for core-resources, with only 107 donors in 2016.



A narrow funding base for core-resources (con't)




- In 2016, OECD/DAC donors contributed 99% of total contribution revenue to core resources; the top 13 donor countries to UNFPA contributed to 95% of revenue;
- There is a need for a more equitable burden-sharing among donors to:
 - offset the impact of core-funding decrease and US cuts, and
 - significantly expand UNFPA's donorship towards a less vulnerable donor base and a more balanced community of contributors.

	% share of total Core Resources	Cumulative % share of Core Resources in		
DONORS	in 2016	2016		
1. Sweden	16.7%	16.7%		
2. Norway	13.3%	30.0%		
3. The Netherlands	11.1%	41.1%		
4. United States	8.7%	49.8%		
5. Denmark	8.0%	57.8%		
6. United Kingdom	7.1%	64.9%		
7. Germany	6.9%	71.8%		
8. Finland	5.7%	77.5%		
9. Japan	5.4%	82.9%		
10. Switzerland	4.6%	87.5%		
11. Canada	3.3%	90.8%		
12. Belgium	2.2%	93.0%		
13. Australia	2.0%	95.0%		
Other Regular				
Contributions	5.0%	100%		

Core and non-core contributions - Trends 2012-16




The proportion of core resources compared to non-core resources continues to diminish.



Core resources and additional mobilized non-core resources complement each other and together allow UNFPA to effectively carry out its normative role and its universal mandate, and to cover a larger number of Member States and communities.

Support of UNFPA Humanitarian response 2013-2017





^{*} Figures as of August 2017. Provisional and still subject to final reconciliation.




Private sector partnerships



\$12.9 million

Total contributions raised (co-financing and in-kind)

No. of partnerships formed = 72*



2017 (as of 1 August)

\$8.5 million so far

(co-financing and in-kind)
Target: +10% raised from 2016 (\$14 million)

No. of new partners = 14*No. of partnerships formed = 48*20

Corporations •

norters L

Foundations

Funding needs for Strategic Plan 2018 - 2021





- Realistic targets, based on minimum amount required to achieve the 3 transformative results of the Strategic Plan (zero unmet need for family planning; zero preventable maternal death, zero harmful practices and gender based violence)
- UNFPA will explore opportunities to further mobilize resources beyond these targets in order to achieve the ambition of Agenda 2030

Regular Resources Total: \$1,400 M Other Resources Total: \$2,000 M Overall Total: \$3,400 M

Strategic Plan and Integrated Budget 2018-2021




Strategic Plan outcomes

1. Resources available	
2. Use of resources	
A. Development activities	
A.1 Programme ^{d/}	
A.2 Global and regional interventions	
A.3 Emergency fund	
A.4 Development effectiveness	
Total development	
B United Nations development coordination	
C Management activities	
C.1 Recurring costs	
C.2 Non-recurring costs	
Total management	
D. Special purpose	
D.1 Premises capital plan	1
D.2 ICT transformation	
Total special purpose	
Total use of resources (A+B+C+D)	

		Integrated l	udget.	18-2021	
	Regular	Other reso		Total	Percentage
	resources	Programme	C r	esources	of total
		r	ec ery		

	642.8	2,194.1	(146.8)	2,690.1	75.0%
	153.1			153.1	4.3%
	20.0			20.0	0.6%
	141.0			141.0	3.9%
	356.9	2,194.1	(146.8)	3,004.2	65.8%
	9.4			9.4	0.3%
	300.7	-	141.1	530.8	14.8%
	5.5		2.0	7.4	0.2%
	395.2	-	143.1	538.3	15.0%

	10.3	-	3.7	14.1	0.4%
۱	20.0			20.0	0.0%
	30.3		3 7	34.0	0.9%
	1,391.8	2,194.1	0)	3,585.9	100.0%
)				



Organizational effectiveness and efficiency (OEE) outputs

in SRH and RR, universal presence, resource mobilization, core functions

Non-Core: complementary funding for specific programming around 4 outcomes of the SP

Towards a new "compact" for predictable and sustainable funding



- Moving away from a situation where the Executive Board approves a strategic plan without the necessary level of predictable funding to implement it.
- In the spirit of the SG's report, working with you towards a funding compact where:
 - ➤ UNFPA would commit to excellence in programming, and to transparency and accountability in management.
 - ➤ Partners would commit in turn to adequate levels of predictable funding for the strategic plan.
- The aim is for partners to commit to a funding compact throughout the three cycles of the Strategic Plan towards 2030.

What would such a "compact" achieve?



- Achieve sustainability of global funding for UNFPA's mandate, and provide predictable and adequate levels of funding for the 3 transformative results of the 2018-2021 Strategic Plan.
- The funding compact rests on the availability of core resources as the foundation/bedrock with which we achieve those results. It funds UNFPA's global normative role and footprint as the champion for sexual and reproductive health and reproductive rights for all.
- Foster a more mature trust-based partnership based on regular dialogues, focusing on:
 - Funding gaps
 - Accountability and efficiencies
 - Communication for results
 - Any other issue to be addressed to further the partnership

What we propose: 1. Making UNFPA funding more attractive



- The Comprehensive Resource Review (CRR) expected to make UNFPA's structure fit-for-purpose to implement the new Strategic Plan:
 - Optimal alignment between the strategic plan, resource allocation and organizational structures
 - Analysis of UNFPA structures and spending categories, and identification of key levers for transformational organizational change identified for further in depth consideration
- Going forward, we aim to arrive at an even clearer delineation of what 'core' constitutes and pays for, viz. non-core resources; core resources being used to ensure a global presence and footprint to perform the global and regional functions necessary to support the mandate, integrity and resource mobilization platform of the Fund, and to raise additional non-core resources
 - ✓ Why? Because we want to build trust and understanding around core funding needs


1. Making UNFPA funding more attractive (con't)



- Positioning thematic funding streams that facilitate flexible and predictable contributions towards the three transformative goals of the SP.
 - ✓ Why? Because we want to fully align all funding streams towards the three transformative goals and maximize the impact of all partners' contributions.

Structured financing architecture underpinning transformative results





UNFPA's funding situation
 A new funding compact
 Discussion

Core

2. Continue to Strive for more Efficiencies



- As spelled out in the IB, additional gains in operating efficiencies, the result of systematic reviews, and reductions of expenditures throughout cost categories in all UNFPA business units in HQ and in the field.
- As a result of the Comprehensive Resource Review, potential further efficiencies to make UNFPA's organizational structure fit-forpurpose

3. More transparency, visibility and recognition for our partners



- Core contributors will become UNFPA's "shareholders". As such their contribution to every result achieved with core and non-core is fully recognized.
- UNFPA commits to excellence in implementing the International Aid Transparency Initiative (IAITI), ensuring visibility of our operations throughout the delivery chain, and for greater coordination in emergencies (as per Grand Bargain commitments).
- UNFPA commits to more tailored communication and visibility products: revised transparency portal, dedicated donor pages, and other digital tools ...

3. More transparency, visibility and recognition for our partners (cont'n) – UNFPA Data Portal



- A commitment to Transparency and Accountability
- Now live at unfpa.org/data
- Key features include:
 - ✓ Data broken down by region, country and sub-national level
 - ✓ Statistical Data linked to SDG and SP indicators
 - ✓ Dashboards on Adolescent and Youth, FGM, Midwifery and World Population
 - ✓ Procurement data (SRH commodities)
 - ✓ Humanitarian Data Portal
 - ✓ Integration of the Transparency Portal (IATI)

4. Build on Resource Mobilization and Partnership initiatives



- 150 donors Campaign
- End of Year Campaign: Acceleration of RM efforts by targeted outreach
- Boosting efforts at regional and country levels, using differentiated messages based on local contexts and priorities, through:
 - ➤ Tailored RM strategies for each Country Program Document, with strong engagement of Regional directors, country representatives and RMPAs
 - Specific RM plans for selected countries with high prospect: "RM engines"

4. Build on Resource Mobilization and Partnership initiatives (cont'n)



Achieving a steady increase in resources (co-financing, in-kind) from non-traditional sources

Expand strategic partnerships with private sector:

- ✓ New Strategic Partnership Strategy to be released soonest, in line with SP 2018-21
- ✓ Strategy for fundraising and partnering with individuals rolled out in 2018, including assessing opportunities for establishing National Committees
- ✓ Roll out of the corporate online fundraising action plan beginning 2018
- ✓ Enhancing capacities in regions to partner with private sector, through tailored regional Strategic Partnerships Strategies

Multi-stakeholder, pooled and financing innovation platforms

- ✓ UN, development banks, regional economic commissions and other multilateral entities currently generating over 30 per cent of UNFPA co-financing revenue
- ✓ Mobilizing/leveraging domestic funds record contribution from programme countries in 2017
- ✓ Exploration of blended financing potential & innovative financing options UNFPA Supplies bridge funding mechanism phase 1 operational by end-2017, broader paper in draft
- ✓ Participation in multi-stakeholder financing compacts (as UNFPA/UN/multilateral initiatives) -Global Concessional Financing Facility, Pandemic Emergency Facility, CERF, PBF etc.





Moving forward...

- What are the ExBo's views on such a funding compact? Is it feasible?
- How to ensure that the funding compact encourage <u>all countries</u> to engage?
- How to further enhance the structured funding dialogues: content, frequency, format, participation of non-state actors?
- How can we best use the funding dialogues to fulfill the objectives set out in the SG's Report, including recommendations for a UNDS funding compact?

